



Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/SS (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Docket Number (Optional)
01-1012

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on August 31, 2007

Signature

Typed or printed

name Thomas E. Wettermann

Application Number
10/002,832

Filed
November 15, 2001

First Named Inventor
Anoop Tripathi et al.

Art Unit
2616

Examiner
Ly, Anh Vu H

Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.

This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.

The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).

Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.

I am the

☐ applicant/inventor.

☐ assignee of record of the entire interest.
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.
(Form PTO/SB/96)

☒ attorney or agent of record.

Registration number 41,523

☐ attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.

Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____

Signature

Thomas E. Wettermann

Typed or printed name

312-913-2138

Telephone number

August 31, 2007

Date

NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.

☐ *Total of _____ forms are submitted.

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

American LegalNet, Inc.
www.USCourtForms.com



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Case No. 01-1012)

Application of:

Anoop Tripathi et al.

Serial No. 10/002,832

Filed: November 15, 2001

For: System and Method for Multi-Casting
Announcements

Examiner: Ly, Anh Vu H

Group Art Unit: 2616

Confirmation No.: 7639

Customer No.: 20306

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Applicants request review of the Office Action mailed May 17, 2007 because the Examiner, when responding to Applicants' arguments, made an error in law and an error in fact.

A Notice of Appeal is included herewith, along with the requisite fee of \$500 associated with the Notice of Appeal. Also enclosed is a Petition for Extension of Time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) (1 Month) along with the requisite fee.

No additional fees are thought to be presently due at this time; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional fees required in connection with the paper(s) transmitted herewith, or to credit any overpayment of same, to Deposit Account No. 13-2490.

1. Status of the Claims

Claims 1-9 and 11-27 are currently pending. Claims 1, 15, 25, 28, and 30 are independent claim. Claims 16-17, 21, 26, and 27 stand objected to over new grounds of

informalities. Claims 1-9 and 16-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) on grounds of anticipation over U.S. Patent No. 6,456,601 ("Kozdon 601"). Applicant respectfully requests review of these 102 rejections.

2. The Claimed Invention

Applicants' presently claimed invention is generally directed to multicasting information in networks. Applicants' Specification p. 2 lines 3-4. As Applicants' explain with respect to Figure 4, one example of an announcement server is described. An announcement server 400 includes an initiate announcements module 401, a broadcast announcements module 402, a determine announcement address module 404, and a communicate announcement address module 406. Applicants' Specification p. 20 line 22 – p. 21 line 2.

The determine announcement address module 404 receives a network parameters lead 405 and supplies a lead 409 to the communicate announcement address module 406. The communicate announcement address module 406 supplies a lead 407 to a proxy or other network device. The initiate announcements module 401 is coupled to the broadcast announcements module 402.

The initiate announcements module 401 determines when the announcements will be played to an address. This information is communicated to the broadcast announcements module 402 via the lead 409. In one example, announcements may be played continuously. However, other timing examples are possible. Applicants' Specification p. 21 lines 3 – 11.

The broadcast announcements module 403 broadcasts announcements to a memory location or memory locations via the lead 403. The memory locations located within the Announcement Server and server as bindings between the Announcement Server and the announcement available to external entities via multi-cast addresses. For example, the memory

locations may map an audio stream within the announcement server to RTP streams flowing out of the announcement server. Announcements may be in the form of any type of information. For example, the announcements may be ring tones, call-routing tones, call-hold tones, invalid destination tones, temporary unavailable tones, number-is-forwarded tones, and number is posted tones. Other examples of announcements are possible. The addresses are received via a lead 411 from the determine announcement address module 404. Applicants' Specification p. 21 lines 12 - 23.

The determine announcement address module determines the address or addresses whereby announcements are played. These addresses are communicated to the broadcast announcements module 402 and the communicate announcement address module 406. A network parameters lead 405 may communicate information that may affect the determination of the address. For example, network usage or memory usage may affect which memory location is used. In other examples, the address or addresses may be determined randomly.

The communicate announcement address module 406 may communicate the addresses determined by the determine announcement address module 404 to any entity that needs these addresses. For instance, a proxy may use these addresses. Applicants' Specification p. 22 lines 1 - 10. Applicants' independent claims are generally directed to such a broadcast server that determines when the announcements will be played to an address. Applicants' Specification p. 21 lines 3 - 11.

3. Clear Legal Deficiency of Rejections

The factual deficiency of the rejections leads to a legal deficiency. In order to establish anticipation rejection of a claim over a reference, the Examiner must show that all of the claim limitations are found in a single reference. MPEP § 2131. "A claim is anticipated only if each

and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). Applicants believe that the Examiner has failed to establish the requisite showing.

In this case, Kodzon '601 fails to disclose the steps of "determining when the announcement will be played to the address." Rather, Kodzon '601 is generally directed to audible telecommunication signaling, and more specifically, to a method and a system for providing call progress tones and audible announcement in a distributed, packetized network environment. Kodzon '601, Col. 1, Lines 7 – 11.

In the Office Action mailed August 22, 2006, citing Figure 3 and Step 46 of Kodzon, the Examiner contended that this reference taught "continuously broadcasting the announcement on the address." August 22, 2006 Page 4. Applicants' amended these claims to remove the "continuously broadcasting the announcement on the address" limitation and inserted the limitation of "determining when the announcement will be played to the address."

Now, in the Final Office Action, citing the very same Figure 3, Step 46 and Column 6, Lines 31-36, the Examiner contends that Kodzon '601 discloses the step of "determining when the announcement will be played to the address." August 22, 2006 Office Action p. 4.

On the contrary, Figure 3 merely refers to Step 46 as "Associate Signal With Addresses." This Step mentions nothing about determining when an announcement will be played, let alone when such an announcement would be played to an address. Column 6, Lines 31-36 of Kodzon '601 fails for similar reasons. This cited portion of Kodzon '601 merely discusses that stored tones and deliveries are associated with multicast addresses and that this may be performed by identifying locations in memory where progress tones and deliveries are stored. This cited

portion of Kozdon '601 mentions nothing about determining when an announcement will be played, let alone when such an announcement would be played to an address.

Because Kozdon '601 does not show or suggest all limitations expressly recited in Applicants' presently claimed invention, the Examiner made a legal error in rejecting the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

4. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant submits that all of the pending claims should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Date: August 31, 2007

By: 

Thomas E. Wettermann
Reg. No. 41,523